



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,337		11/25/2003	Il Nah	P24481 3926  EXAMINER	
7055	7590	06/30/2005			
		& BERNSTEIN, P CLARKE PLACE	KYLE, MICHAEL J		
RESTON.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3677	
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/720,337	NAH, IL				
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael J. Kyle	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to com	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 April 2005</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINA	L. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
		ce except for formal matters, pro					
closed in accordance	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above cla	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/a	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	<u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) are	subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·				
<b>Application Papers</b>							
9)☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (P'</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Pater</li> </ol>		4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) te				
3) Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office		6)					

4

Application/Control Number: 10/720,337

Art Unit: 3677

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gauron (U.S. Patent No. 4,902,180) in view of Kann (U.S. Patent No. 2,415,695). With respect to claims 1 and 8, Gauron discloses an insert nut having a shape defined by a plurality of sidewalls (78, 90, 92, for example), and at least one circumferentially extending groove (84, 86, 88) along a longitudinal dimension of the insert nut. The plurality of sidewalls are configured to provide a plurality of gaps (see figure 17) between the sidewalls of the insert nut and a surface of an installation hole of the carrier. Plastic is injectable into the plurality of gaps (via 94, 96) and into the at least one groove. Gauron also discloses a method of mounting the insert nut in an insert hole (figure 17). Gauron does not show the insert nut to have a polygonal shape.
- 3. Kann teaches an insert nut (11) of polygonal shape in order to secure the nut against turning (column 3, line 30). The insert nut forms a plurality of gaps between its sidewalls and the carrier, where the gaps extend along the entire length of the insert nut. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gauron as taught by Kann in order to secure the nut against turning.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,337 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

4. With respect to claims 2, 3, 9, and 10, Kann discloses the insert nut can have any of polygonal shapes, including a hexagon or pentagon (column 3, lines 2-4).

- 5. With respect to claims 4, 5, 7, 11, 12, and 14, Gauron discloses the longitudinal dimension of the insert nut corresponds to a thickness of the carrier, and the groove is circular (figures 13, 14 and 17). Gauron also shows a plurality of grooves along the longitudinal dimension.
- 6. With respect to claims 6 and 13, neither Gauron nor Kann disclose the groove to be in the shape of a pentagon. However, Kann discloses that polygonal shapes may be used to secure against turning within the hole. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the grooves in the shape of pentagon in order to provide resistance to torque as the bolt is fastened.
- 7. With respect to claims 15 and 18, Gauron, modified as taught by Kann, teaches each gap to be of uniform size and provides an inlet port for plastic injection
- 8. With respect to claims 16 and 19, Kann teaches the polygonal shape i sized to be substantially inscribed in the installation hole of the carrier (14 of Kann)
- 9. With respect to claims 17 and 20, the combination of Gauron and Kan teaches each gap is defined by adjacent vertices (of Kann) and a segment of the installation hole between the vertices.

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. All claims are now rejected by the combination of

Application/Control Number: 10/720,337

Art Unit: 3677

Gauron in view of Kann. Examiner has removed the Quaney reference from the rejection.

Examiner believes Kann cures all of applicant's argued deficiencies of the previous rejection.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael J. Kyle whose telephone number is 571-272-7057. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mk

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4